

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.nsple.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/506,838	02/18/2000	Ignatius Loy Britto	2801-136P	8929	
75	590 02/08/2002				
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 747		BAWA, RAJ			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 2050-8000			BAWA, ICIS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/506,838 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Britto

		Bawa	1619		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addr	ess	
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH	H(S) FROM		
- Exter af - If the be - If NO co - Failur	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a considered timely. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory emmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimur period will apply and will expire SIX (y statute, cause the application to bec	m of thirty (30) d 6) MONTHS from come ABANDONE	ays will the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e mailing date of this communication,	even if timely file	ed, may reduce any	
Status	•				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 6, 20	001		•	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	·		ne merits is	
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 22, 24-28, 30-32, 34, 39-50, 52, and 54	is/arc	e pending in th	e application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	re withdrawn f	rom consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 22, 24-28, 30-32, 34, 39-50, 52, and 54	!	is/are rejected	i.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	d to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.			
11)□	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve heen received			
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have		No.		
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	documents have been received in eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).		
Attachm	ent(s)				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper			
· -	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)		
171 UM	ionnation Disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:			

Art Unit: 1616

Detailed Action

Page 2

1. In response to the "Brief" dated September 6, 2001, the final rejection dated April 6, 2001 has been withdrawn. The rejections under 35 USC112, first paragraph and 35 USC 101 have been withdrawn.

- 2. The amendment dated September 5, 2001 has been entered.
- 3. Please update the status of the continuation data listed on page 1 of the specification.
- 4. The no statutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper time wise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 22, 24-28, 30-32, 34, 39-50, 52 and 54 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,149,892 and 6,143,277. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all claims have a species genus relationship. In fact the applicant's have previously submitted a TD on March 7, 2001 over these two patents.

Application/Control Number: 09/506,838

Art Unit: 1616

Page 3

This TD was not accepted because the application/patent, which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection, is not identified in the TD. Accordingly, it is requested that the applicant's submit a correct TD to overcome this rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raj Bawa whose telephone number is (703) 308-2423. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday from 7:30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Bawa/dl

January 30, 2002

RAJ BAWA, Ph.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER